PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:		PCT		
000 Form DCT/ISA/220				
see Form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
		Date of mailing (day/month/year)		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
see Form PCT/ISA/220				
International application No. PCT/DE2004/001333	International filing date 06/24/2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 06/24/2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) of G05B19/04 Applicant ROBERT BOSCH GMBH	or both national classifica	tion and IPC		
Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state	of invention ment under Rule 43bis. 1(a xplanations supporting su ents cited s in the international appli	a)(i) with regard to no ch statement cation	ive step and industrial applicability ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicabilit	
International Preliminary Examining other than this one to be the IPEA at opinions of this International Search If this opinion is, as provided above, a written reply together, where approPCT/ISA/220 or before the expiratio	Authority ("IPEA") excend the chosen IPEA has ning Authority will not be considered to be a written priate, with amendments, n of 22 months from the priority of the priority	pt that this does not a totified the Internation so considered. Topinion of the IPEA before the expiration	be considered to be a written opinion of tapply where the applicant chooses an Authorianal Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPE n of 3 months from the date of mailing of Forver expires later.	
For further options, see Form PCT/IS 3. For further details, see notes to Form				
Name and mailing address of the ISA/		Authorized officer Gerdes, R.		
Facsimile No. 2132187	19305	Telephone No.	+49 89 2399-2547	

International application No.

PCT/DE2004/001333

Box	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		egard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language
	_	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	claime	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the d invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. typ	e of material
		a sequence listing
	L	table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. for	mat of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. tin	ne of filing/furnishing
	F	contained in the international application as filed.
	F	filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	_	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additi	onal comments:

International application No. PCT/DE2004/001333

Box No. II Priority
1. The following document has not yet been furnished: copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)). translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rules 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)). Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been
established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date. 2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Additional observations, if necessary:
Gerdes, R.

International application No. PCT/DE2004/001333

Box No.	III Non-establishment of opinio	n with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
	stions whether the claimed invention a le have not been examined in respect	appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially of:
	the entire international application	
\checkmark	claims Nos. 8-11	
L		
becau		the said claims Nos
	relate to the following subject matter	r which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):
	the description, claims or drawings are so unclear that no meaningful of	(indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos pinion could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos.	are so inadequately supported
[7]	by the description that no meaningf	8-11
V	no international search report has be	en established for said claims Nos.
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid : Administrative Instructions in that:	sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the
	the written form	has not been furnished
		does not comply with the standard
	the computer readable form	has not been furnished
		does not comply with the standard
	the tables related to the nucleotide at the technical requirements provided	nd/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See Supplemental Box for further de	etails.

International application No. PCT/DE2004/001333

Statement				-
Novelty (N)	Claims Claims	4-6 1-3,7		NO
Inventive step (IS) Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims Claims Claims	1-7		YES
				YES
	Claims		41-10-2	NO
Citations and explanations:				
ee appended sheet				
	•			